The Single Plan for Student Achievement

WILLOWS HIGH SCHOOL

11-62661-1132861 CDS Code

Date of this revision: January, 2013

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students to the level of performance goals established under the California Academic Performance Index. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the School and Library Improvement Block Grant, the Pupil Retention Block Grant, the Consolidated Application, and NCLB Program Improvement into the Single Plan for Student Achievement.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Mr. Jerry Smith

Position: Principal

Telephone Number: (530) 934-6611

Address: 203 North Murdock Street

Willows, CA 95988

E-mail Address: jsmith@willowsunified.org

Willows Unified School District

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the School Plan on March, 2013

School Vision and Mission Statement

"TO ASSIST TODAY'S STUDENTS WITH TOMORROW'S CHALLENGES"

Willows High School is a four-year comprehensive high school with a six-year accreditation beginning in 2006. We pride ourselves in preparing students for many different avenues beyond high school. We prepare students to meet the challenge of our changing local student population and the curricular changes that are being implemented by the school board, the State Department of Education and the Governor. We are committed to providing the best education possible for all our students in a safe, inviting atmosphere designed with our students' success in mind.

Development of Single Plan for Student Achievement

As we move toward developing a plan that addresses the needs of our students, the following information will be used for discussion to determine practices, policies, and procedures to maintain a cycle for continuous improvement:

- Review of Programs Funded through the Consolidated Application
- Review of Programs Included in this Plan and Related Funding Sources
- Analysis of Current Instructional Programs
- Analysis of Demographic Data
- Analysis of Student Performance Data
- School-wide Goals

State Programs

- Economic Impact Aid
- School Safety & Violence Prevention Act

Federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Programs

Information and CDE contacts for NCLB programs are available at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/pc.

- Title I, Part A, Basic Grant
- Title II, Part A, Teacher & Principal Training & Recruiting
- Title III, LEP Students
- Title IV, Part A, Safe & Drug-Free Schools & Communities

Programs Included in this Plan and Funding

State	Allocation	
X	Economic Impact Aid/ English Learner Program <u>Purpose</u> : Develop fluency in English and academic proficiency of English learners	\$ 67,126
X	School Safety and Violence Prevention Act Purpose : Increase school safety.	\$ Tier III
	Total amount of state categorical funds allocated to this school	\$ 67,126

Fed	leral Programs under No Child Left Behind (NCLB)	Allocation		
Х	Title I, Part A: Schoolwide Program <u>Purpose</u> : Upgrade the entire educational program of eligible schools in high poverty areas	\$	128,001	
X	Title II, Part A: Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting <u>Purpose</u> : Improve and increase the number of highly qualified teachers and principals	\$	6,356	
x	Title III, Part A: Language Instruction for Limited-English-Proficient (LEP) Students <u>Purpose</u> : Supplement language instruction to help limited-English-proficient (LEP) students attain English proficiency and meet academic performance standards	\$	3,217	
X	Title IV, Part A: Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities <u>Purpose</u> : Support learning environments that promote academic achievement	\$	910 (c/o)	
	Total amount of federal categorical funds allocated to this school	\$	138,484	
Т	otal amount of state and federal categorical funds allocated to this school	\$	205,610	

Analysis of Current Instructional Program:

The following statements characterize educational practices at this school:

1. Alignment of curriculum, instruction and materials to content and performance standards:

Departments meet regularly to refine and align their curriculum with the state standards. All core curriculum courses have adopted the most current textbooks that are aligned with the California State Standards. This is an ongoing process and texts and materials are continually being updated.

2. Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups:

Standards-based instructional materials are available to all student groups. Supplemental materials are also available through Title I and other categorical funds.

3. Alignment of staff development to standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs:

All staff development activities are directed toward students' achievement of state standardized skill levels, student performance, teaching standards, and addressing the individual and group needs of our students. Some of the most recent professional development trainings include:

- Curriculum Alignment to Standards, Data Driven Curriculum, Curriculum and Instruction Workshop, Working with Students of Poverty, Improving AYP/API Scores through Departmental Change, WASC Training, Math and Reading Workshops, Expository Writing Class Step Up To Writing, Explicit Direct Instruction (EDI) training
- Vocational Education Teacher workshop, ROP Conference, ROP Standard to Course Outline Workshop, ROP Grant Writing Standard Procedures, California Career Technical Educational Standards & Framework Group for Agriculture & Natural Resources, Agriculture Education Conferences, and Home Economics Instruction Training
- Local Technology Workshops (sponsored by GCOE) Digital Camera, PowerPoint, Web Design, Butte College Math/Science Articulation, Leadership Trainings through Shasta COE
- 504 Training, Self Mutilation, Suicide Prevention/Awareness, Oppositional Defiant Child, Bullying Training
- 4. Services provided by the regular program to enable Underperforming students to meet standards:

Within the regular program, teachers utilize differentiated instruction to meet students' needs. Through classroom assessments and/or standardized tests, students who demonstrate low achievement are identified and placed in an additional reading or math class during the regular day. A credentialed teacher offers evening tutorial at least two nights a week and several teachers offer after school tutoring funded by Title I. In addition, CAHSEE tutorial programs are provided for all 11th and 12th graders prior to the state exam. These tutorials take place during school hours, after school, and on Saturdays in both English and Math.

5. Services provided by categorical funds to enable Underperforming students to meet standards:

The after school intervention programs, the evening tutorials, and the specialized reading and math computer software programs enable students to receive additional assistance to meet the state standards and/or to accelerate learning and are all supported by categorical funds.

6. Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement:

Within the departments, teachers use data to improve and modify instruction. Teachers and administrators analyze data, and critique and adjust lesson plans, course of studies, assessments, and curriculum to address student needs. Within each department, teachers utilize individual assignments, problem-based learning, discovery learning, cooperative learning, simulations, and critique and analysis to assess student learning.

7. Number and percentage of teachers in academic areas experiencing low student performance:

There are students in all classes scoring below "basic" on STAR Test related assessments. This is an ongoing concern and was identified as a critical academic need in our WASC self-study. For the most part, students are not segregated into low performing or high performing classes but rather provided additional support through the Reading or Math Lab courses, and after school tutorials in English Language Arts and Math.

8. Family, school, district and community resources available to assist these students:

The aid from categorical funds, WHS Booster groups, and Business Partnerships in our community plays an extremely important role in meeting the students' needs throughout the school. The District sponsors a 10 – 12 week Parent Education Program class for parents seeking to improve their English skills. Alternative placements for students far behind in the traditional setting are provided in order to facilitate greater student success.

9. School, district and community barriers to improvements in student achievement:

Even though WHS is a School-wide Title I school with an identified free-reduced lunch population of approximately 47%, WHS has high expectations for all students. Parents, community members and businesses share in this philosophy.

10. Limitations of the current program to enable Underperforming students to meet standards:

While in the past, the school has incorporated Class Size Reduction in the areas of ninth grade English/Language Arts and math, budget cuts have forced the district to make tough decisions to increase class sizes in all areas. This issue will continue to be a concern as we strive to meet individual student's needs. While the school continues to take a serious look at continuing to acquire necessary state adopted textbooks and materials, the administration and staff are working with limited categorical and general funding. This lack of consistent state and federal funding could possibly limit the school's ability to purchase necessary materials in the near future.

Analysis of Student Performance Data

Percentage of Students at each Performance Level from 2005 through 2012

English Languag	no Arte																							
% of Students	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 10		grade 10	grade 10		grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 11		grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11
Advanced	16	19	23	26	17	15	20	17	12	23	10	20	13	13	12	10	10	12	13	7	10	16	9	6
Proficient	32	26	30	29	23	30	30	32	28	21	27	20	23	21	22	25	21	21	28	35	28	20	20	18
Basic	32	32	30	30	37	36	28	28	33	25	41	39	40	34	36	26	33	29	32	31	32	28	28	38
Below Basic	18	16	13	9	16	11	15	16	19	21	15	11	10	18	17	21	27	18	13	16	18	22	25	18
Far Below Basic	10	7	4	6	8	8	7	6	8	10	7	10	14		12	18	10	20	14	12	13	14	18	21
Far Below Basic	1	/	4	ь	8	8	-/	6	8	10	7	10	14	14	12	18	10	20	14	12	13	14	18	21
Algebra I	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
% of Students	2005 grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 10		2007 arade 10		2009 grade 10	2010 grade 10	2011 grade 10	2012 grade 10	grade 11		grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	2011 grade 11	2012 grade 11
Advanced	0	3	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Proficient	13	31	23	6	14	21	13	14	17	6	10	0	13	12	0	0	7	6	3	0	0	0	0	0
	62	46	44	33	27	26	37	17	41	33	24	15	19	18	15	9	40	21	42	19	13	20	17	0
Basic				55				47			37		50	50	46						50			
Below Basic	22	11	29 3	6	43	37	37		34 7	44 17		59		21		9 82	40	64 9	45	63		30 50	42	20
Far Below Basic	2	9	3	ь	14	15	12	22		17	30	26	19	21	38	82	13	9	10	19	38	50	42	80
Geometry																								
% of Students	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11
Advanced	0	0	11	4	11	9	10	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Proficient	43	45	50	43	30	35	24	65	7	23	17	2	4	4	14	7	5	24	0	0	6	8	0	25
Basic	48	46	39	36	30	39	43	29	50	46	48	39	32	38	32	41	27	47	39	36	28	25	18	0
Below Basic	10	3	0	14	30	17	14	6	36	28	31	49	55	46	45	46	59	24	56	57	44	17	45	75
Far Below Basic	0	0	0	4	0	0	10	0	7	3	3	8	9	12	9	7	9	6	6	7	22	50	36	0
rai below basic	U	U	U		- 0	- 0	10	U	,		3	- 6	- 5	12		,	9	0		,	22	30	30	0
Algebra II																								
% of Students	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11
Advanced	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Proficient	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	100(1)	27	42	20	32	32	14	10	13	3	9	0	5	3	0	0	15
Basic	n/a	n/a	n/a	100 (1)	0	0	0	0	36	47	44	47	36	45	30	50	32	36	45	43	55	32	0	15
Below Basic	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	32	5	36	21	24	32	50	38	46	45	35	33	30	37	56	45
Far Below Basic	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	4	5	5	0	19	9	19	19	12	32	44	25
History																								
% of Students	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 10		grade 10	grade 10		grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11
Advanced	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	5	5 5	2	7	13	14	14	3	17	10	8	6	11	15	12	11
Proficient	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	28	15	10	26	12	19	10	16	25	25	14	24	23	28	23	14
					0	0	0	0							30	24			32			27	24	38
Basic Balow Basis	n/a	n/a	n/a	50 (1)		-	_	_	32	36	30	28	33	31			26	33		24	33			
Below Basic	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	16	20	31	19	15	7	16	16 41	20	11	28	24	20	15	15	13
Far Below Basic	n/a	n/a	n/a	50 (1)	100 (1)	0	0	0	19	23	27	19	27	29	30	41	12	21	17	23	13	16	27	24
Science																								
% of Students	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9	grade 9			grade 10		grade 10	grade 10	grade 10	grade 10		grade 11		grade 11	grade 11		grade 11	grade 11
Advanced	<u>grade 9</u> 1	<u>grade 9</u>	10	19	10	8	<u>grade 9</u>	<u>grade 9</u>	4	<u>grade 10</u>	12	17	17	21	9 9	7	6	<u>grade 11</u>	grade 11	<u>grade 11</u>	<u>grade 11</u>	8 8	7	0 0
			32		25	-	22	22	29		34				21	24		-	-	-		-		15
Proficient	16	30		24		18				39 38		38	21	31			18 58	22 56	10 57	19	23	21	4	
Basic	53	37	30	42	46	53	42	40	55		33	31	38	33	38	39				75	40	42	29	39
Below Basic	18	19	14	12	13	13	16	17	8	12	12	5	17	6	19	17	12	11	19	3	31	13	39	21
Far Below Basic	13	7	13	4	6	9	12	16	4	4	10	9	8	9	13	13	6	11	10	3	6	17	21	24
											(Life)	(Life)	(Life)	(Life)	(Life)	(Life)			(Biology)	(Biology)		(Biology)	(Biology)	(Biology)
											2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
											grade 10	grade 10		grade 10	grade 10	grade 10			grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11	grade 11
											23	26	25	26	16	16			10	0	0	0	0	0
											35 27	31 19	32 23	21 34	25 36	34			32 45	0 100 (1)	0 67 (2)	0	0	0
																29								71
											8	14	12	9	11	10			10	O	38 (1)	0	25	0

• State Accountability: Academic Performance Index (API)

Met Growth Targets

Schoolwide: No All Student Groups: No All Targets: No

Groups

	Number of Students Included in 2012 API	Numerically Significant in Both Years	2012 Growth	2011 Base	2011-12 Growth Target	2011-12 Growth	Met Student Groups Growth Target
Schoolwide	330		673	699	5	-26	
Black or African American	4	No					
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	No					
Asian	16	No	754	618			
Filipino	1	No					
Hispanic or Latino	144	Yes	657	641	8	16	Yes
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2	No					
White	152	Yes	698	766	5	-68	No
Two or More Races	0	No					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	179	Yes	630	635	8	-5	No
English Learners	91	Yes	574	554	12	20	Yes
Students with Disabilities	36	No	366	421			

• Federal Accountability: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Percent Proficient - Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

English-Language Arts
Target 77.8 %
Met all percent proficient rate criteria? No

Mathematics Target 77.4 % Met all percent proficient rate criteria? No

Number Percent Met

Valid At or At or 2012 Alternative
Scores Above Above AYP Method

ValidAt or
ScoresAboveAboveAyPMetMetAt or
AboveAt or
AboveAyPAtternative
Method

GROUPS

	<u>Pro</u>	oficient F	<u>Proficient</u>	<u>Criteria</u>		Proficient I	t Criteria	
Schoolwide	108	50	46.3	No	118	54	45.8	No
Black or African American	0				0			
American Indian or Alaska Native	4				4			
Asian	7				7			
Filipino	0				0			
Hispanic or Latino	49	19	38.8		54	22	40.7	No
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0				0			
White	47	28	59.6		52	26	50.0	No
Two or More Races	0				0			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58	22	37.9	No	65	22	33.8	No
English Learners	24	4	16.7		28	5	17.9	
Students with Disabilities	6				14	0	0.0	

Conclusions from Student Performance Data:

Willows High School has experienced instabilities in its Academic Performance Index (API) and Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) reports over the last five years. For the current year, the students demonstrated significant growth with the Hispanic and English Learner subgroups, while the White subgroup experienced a sharp decline. In addition, the number of students scoring Proficient or Advanced on the AYP continues to decline or remain constant in most subgroups.

When comparing Willows High School's 2012 CST scores to the state average of students who scored Proficient or Advanced, WHS students scored below the state average. English performance levels decreased slightly as students move from 9th to 11th grade. In 10th grade science, scores were above the state average. In "End of Course" science testing, scores were above the state average. Overall, history scores remained constant. In "End of Course" math testing, scores were significantly below the state average. Overall, freshmen scores were higher and English Language Learner results were lower in EOC math tests.

School Goals for Improving Student Achievement:

Goal #1: Improve student performance in the core curricular areas

Goal #2: Continue to maintain a zero tolerance for drugs, weapons, violence, and gang behavior

Goal #3: Provide opportunities for Parent Involvement

Planned Improvements in Student Performance

The school site council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet API and AYP growth targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of student groups not meeting state standards:

I. SCHOOL GOALS 1. Improve student performance in the core curricular areas	SUGGESTED ACTION/STEPS FOR ACHIEVING THIS GOAL? -Identify students early in the school year who are at-risk and implement standards based intervention to enhance their classroom learning -Provide additional staffing for classroom assistance (Paraprofessionals I & II) -Provide teachers and staff supplemental materials and supplies to promote different learning styles -Provide opportunities for teacher training and paraprofessional training that aligns to standards -Provide opportunities for schoolwide and district-wide collaboration throughout the year -Provide and update Reading and Math Enhancement Programs -Continue to provide learning opportunities through technology for staff and students	SUGGESTED PERSON TO DO THIS? -Teachers -Administration -Paraprofessionals I & II -Director of Categorical Programs	SUGGESTED TIMELINE? -Before School Intervention -After School Intervention -Noon Time Intervention -Throughout the school year	PROGRAM/ COST? Title I \$128,001 - teachers, para- professionals, materials and supplies EIA \$67,126 - teacher, para- professionals materials and supplies	EVALUATION PROCESS – HOW, WHO, WHEN? At the end of each quarter, staff and administration will evaluate student progress and determine placement into supplemental programs -Annually, SSC will evaluate data from STAR and make recommendations for program direction
Continue to maintain a zero tolerance for drugs, weapons, violence, and gang behavior	-Provide materials, supplies, and programs necessary for tobacco awareness -Surveillance equipment – lighting & cameras -Resource Officer -Update discipline policies as appropriate	-Teachers -Administration -Counselors	-Ongoing	Title IV -\$910 (c/o) Curriculum Safety -\$ Determined by district-wide needs	-Annually -staff, teachers, admin. & SSC will review Con App-UMIRS -Staff will participate in the annual review of the School Safety Plan

3. Provide opportunities for	-Support Glenn County Adult	-Administration	-Community	Title I –	-Annually - Administration,
Parent Involvement	Literacy Program	-Staff	Based English	\$5,217 -	staff, and SSC will review
	-Support Community Based	-SSC	Tutoring is	GCOE Adult	and update the direction for
	English Tutoring Program	-Glenn County Office	-SSC monthly	Program, Parent	parent involvement and
	-Provide opportunities for Parents	of Education	meetings	Training, and	opportunities
	to be involved in developing			materials	
	school policy				
	-Continue to improve the				
	communication between school				
	and home				
	-Support and encourage parent				
	participation in Parent Booster				
	Clubs				

Willows High School Budget:

PROGRAMS	Resource Code	2012-13	Prof. Dev.	Indirect Cost	Program	Parent Education	Class Size Red.	N	NET TO ALL(Willows	High School	
					Coordination Costs							Title I (3010)	EIA (7090)	
			10% - PI	7.76%	Coordination Costs	1.00%		Pr	rograms	В	INCOME	\$ 128,001	\$ 67,126	
			10,011	current year		110070		<u> </u>	og.ao	<u> </u>	Teachers 1100		\$0	
				expenditures							Counselors 1200		\$36,875	
				experiultures						-	Instr Aides 2100/library		\$10,904	
											Total Salaries		47,779	_
FEDERAL											Cert Statutory	\$14,737	\$7,562	
TITLE											Class Statutory	\$12,823	\$6,515	
TITLE I	3010	\$ 521,746	\$ 52,175	\$ 51,629	\$ 117,970	\$ 5,217		\$	294,755	Free/R	Class H&W	\$7,120	\$5,270	F
TITLE II - Improving											Sideo Havv	Ψ1,120	ψ0,270	+
Teacher Quality and	4035	\$ 114,732		\$ 4,648			\$ 84,500	\$	25,584	ADA -				Wo
TITLE III - LEP Subgrant														-
THEE III EEI Oubgrant	4203-1	\$ 32,866		\$ 2,691				\$	30,175	R-30				Р
											Benefits	34,679	19,347	
								\$	-		TOTAL Sal/Ben	128,001	67,126	1
OTATE								•						+
STATE					1			Þ		<u> </u>				-
EIA	7000	¢ 245.040		0.400				¢	200 450	D 20		Title I	EIA	+
	7090	\$ 315,619		\$ 9,469	a -			Þ	306,150	K-3U	carryover	10,000	10,000	
ELAP 4-8 C/O										_		-		
LL/11 100/0	6286	\$ 7,818						\$	7,818	R-30	Total Discretionary	with c/o	20,000	

Willows High School Parental Involvement Policy

Part I: General Expectations

Willows High agrees to implement the following statutory requirements:

- The school will jointly develop with parents, distribute to parents of participating children, a School Parental Involvement Policy that the school and parents of participating children agree on.
- The school will notify parents about the School Parental Involvement Policy in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, will distribute this policy to parents in a language the parents can understand.
- The school will make the School Parental Involvement Policy available to the local community.
- The school will periodically update the School Parental Involvement Policy to meet the changing needs of parents and the school
- The school will adopt the school's school-parent compact as a component of its School Parental Involvement Policy.
- The school agrees to be governed by the following statutory definition of parental involvement, and will carry out programs, activities and procedures in accordance with this definition:

Parental involvement means the participation of parents in regular, two-way, and meaningful communication involving student academic learning and other school activities, including ensuring-

- a. that parents play an integral role in assisting their child's learning;
- b. that parents are encouraged to be actively involved in their child's education at school;
- c. that parents are full partners in their child's education and are included, as appropriate, in decision-making and on advisory committees to assist in the education of their child;
- d. the carrying out of other activities, such as those described in section 1118 of the ESEA.

Part II: Description of How the School Will Implement Required School Parental Involvement Policy Components

1. **Willows High** will take the following actions to involve parents in the joint development and joint agreement of its School Parental Involvement Policy and its schoolwide plan, if applicable, in an organized, ongoing, and timely way under section 1118(b) of the ESEA:

The following will be disseminated to parents for review through newsletters, informational meetings, opening day packets, advisory committees, and school site council:

- District Parental Involvement Policy;
- School Parental Involvement Policy;

- School-Parent Compact;
- Parent Notices as they apply to the Title I, Part A Non-Regulatory Guidance.
- 2. **Willows High** will convene an annual meeting during the first quarter of the school year at a convenient time to inform parents of the following:
 - That their child's school participates in Title I,
 - · About the requirements of Title I,
 - Of their rights to be involved,
 - About their school's participation in Title I.
- 3. *Willows High* will provide information about Title I programs to parents of participating children in a timely manner:
 - Newsletters:
 - "Back to School" Night;
 - School Site Council;
 - First Day Packets;
 - DELAC (District English Learner Advisory Committee) Meetings;
- 4. **Willows High** will provide parents of participating children a description and explanation of the curriculum in use at the school, the forms of academic assessment used to measure student progress, the proficiency levels students are expected to meet, and an opportunity for parents of participating children to provide suggestions as practicably possible through:
 - School Site Council Meetings;
 - · Parent-Teacher Conferences;
 - School Board Meetings;
 - Title I Annual Meetings;
 - IEP's (Individual Education Plan);
 - SST (Student Study Teams)
 - DELAC (District English Leaner Advisory Committee) Meetings
 - Needs Assessment Surveys.
- 5. **Willows High** will submit to the district any parent comments if the schoolwide plan under section (1114)(b)(2) is not satisfactory to parents of participating children. Submission of comments will be made to the Superintendent or Categorical Director and parent will be notified by the district for conference.

Part III: Shared Responsibilities for High Student Academic Achievement

- 1. **Willows High** will build the schools' and parent's capacity for strong parental involvement, in order to ensure effective involvement of parents and to support a partnership among the school involved, parents, and the community to improve student academic achievement, through the following activities specifically described below:
 - Newsletters;
 - "Back to School" Night;
 - Parent-Teacher Conferences:
 - "Project Night";
 - School Site Council;
 - First Day Packets;
 - DELAC (District English Learner Advisory Committee) Meetings;
 - Sunday "phone calls"
- 2. **Willows High** will incorporate the school-parent compact as a component of its School Parental Involvement Policy and will be disseminated in the "First Day" Packets at the beginning of every school year.
- 3. **Willows High** will, with the assistance of the district, provide assistance to parents of children served by the school in understanding topics such as the following:
 - The State's academic content standards:
 - The State's student academic achievement standards;
 - The State and Local Academic Assessments including alternate assessments;
 - The requirement of Title I;
 - · How to monitor their child's progress, and
 - · How to work with educators.
- 4. **Willows High** will, to the extent feasible and appropriate, ensure that information related to the school and parent programs, meetings, and other activities, is sent to the parent of participating children in an understandable and uniform format, including alternative formats upon request, and to the extent practicable, in a language the parents can understand.

School Site Council Membership

Education Code Section 64001(g) requires that the SPSA be reviewed and updated at least annually, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the through the Consolidated Application, by the school site council. The current make-up of the school site council is as follows:

Names of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Student
Jerry Smith	Х				
Shelley Taylor			Х		
Teresa Woods		Х			
Amy Steele		Х			
Marge Ansel		Х			
Marilyn Simleness		Х			
Heather Boer				X	
Diana Perez				Х	
Chrissy Millen				Х	
John Permann					Х
Chip Wadwha					Х
Bisma Khan					Х
Victor Briones					Х
Anthony Mendoza					
Numbers of members of each category	1	5	1	3	4

Recommendations and Assurances

Typed name of SSC chairperson

The school site council recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The school site council is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The school site council reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the school plan requiring board approval.

3.	those that apply):
	School Advisory Committee for State Compensatory Education Programs
	X English Learner Advisory Committee
	Community Advisory Committee for Special Education Programs
	Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee
	Other (list)
١.	The school site council reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this Single Plan for Student Achievement are believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the LEA Plan.
5.	This school plan is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
6.	This school plan was adopted by the school site council at a public meeting on:
۱tt	rested:
	Jerry Smith Typed name of school principal Signature of school principal Date
	Teresa Woods

Date

Signature of SSC chairperson